

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,010	11/27/2001	Stanislaw R. Burzynski	BURG:04910379.0049.NPUS00 9045	
00	7590 10/08/2002			
	IMON ARNOLD & '	EXAMINER		
750 Bering Drive Houston, TX 77057-2198			JONES, DWAYNE C	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/995,010	BURZYNSKI, STANISLAW R.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
1	Dwayne C Jones	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.	5)· ☐ -Notice of Informal-F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/995,010 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. Claims 1-31 are pending.
- 2. Claims 1-31 are rejected.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed on March 5, 2002 has been reviewed and considered, see enclosed copy of PTO FORM 1449.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidl et al. of U.S. Patent No. 5,719,134. Schmidl et al. teach of a dietary

Application/Control Number: 09/995,010

Art Unit: 1614

composition useful for providing nutrition to individuals with diseases, especially individuals who are unable to consume food orally and must be fed enterally, (see column 1). Schmidl et al. teach a preferred composition which contains L-arginine, Lalanine, glycine, L-serine, taurine, L-threonine, L-valine, and riboflavin, (see column 4, line 21 to column 5, line 12). The instant claimed pharmaceutical claims are in fact pharmaceutical claims with an intended use of treating or reducing the effects of malnutrition associated with a condition or disease. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945). In addition, Schmidl et al. do teach one having ordinary skill in the art that these claims are pharmaceutical claims with an intended use of treating or reducing the effects of malnutrition associated with a condition or disease, (see column 1, lines 28-30 and lines 37-67). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to utilize this nutritional composition of Schmidl et al. to treat a variety individuals, who suffer from malnutrition due to a disease or condition which causes or is associated with the malnutrition.

7. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acosta et al. of U.S. Patent No. 5,550,146. Acosta et al. disclose of a nutritional composition, which contains vitamins and amino acids, for the treatment of various metabolic diseases, (see abstract and columns 1 and 2). In fact, Acosta et al. specifically recite the following constituents of taurine and riboflavin, (as cited from Table 1, column 17) and L-alanine, L-arginine, glycine, L-serine, L-threonine and L-

Application/Control Number: 09/995,010

Art Unit: 1614

valine, (as listed in Table 3, column 21). The instant claimed pharmaceutical claims are in fact pharmaceutical claims with an intended use of treating or reducing the effects of malnutrition associated with a condition or disease. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945). Even though the prior art reference of Acosta et al. is directed to treating inherited metabolic disorders, the compositions of Acosta et al. do teach of pharmaceutical compositions which render the instant invention obvious. In addition, because Acosta et al. do teach that the administration of these nutritional compositions are used to treat metabolic disorders, the skilled artisan would have been motivated to utilize the compositions of Acosta et al. to treat other diseases, ailments or conditions where metabolic disorders are treatable with the administration of dietary, nutritional compositions. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the nutritional compositions of Acosta et al. to treat metabolic disorders caused by a variety of diseases or conditions, such as cancer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. C. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-4634. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,010

Art Unit: 1614

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

Page 5

∫1235.

PRIMA: VEXAMINER

Tech. Ctr. 1/614 October 5, 2002